HIV/AIDS and human rights in the third world
by Dr Dayanath Jayasuriya

The author calls for a concerted attack on the root causes of poverty, poor governance, and slow infrastructure development to combat the growth of HIV/AIDS.

A few weeks ago, newspapers in several countries carried the photograph of a 12-year-old black South African boy, Nkosi Johnson, who had died of AIDS. He had already become a hero. He had openly accused the South African President, at the AIDS Conference in Durban in July 2000, for the failure to supply pregnant mothers with the drugs that can help prevent the infants from being infected. His white foster mother found herself before the South African Human Rights Commission facing charges from a black doctor for abusing the child for financial gain, a charge she vehemently denied. Photographs of the dying child were alleged to be those of a child suffering from constipation rather than of AIDS.

When the first AIDS cases were detected among a group of American gay men way back in 1981, and cases were soon found in Zaire and other African countries, the medical problem of HIV/AIDS acquired a new dimension. Infected persons and their families or partners were soon victimised. Acts of discrimination went beyond individuals: even nations became the subject of travel and other restrictions (for example, the ban on the importation of US blood).

20 years into the epidemic, the saga continues to generate a bewildering array of data on the magnitude of the problem. While under-reporting and under-diagnosis were problems that for many years prevented a clear epidemiological picture being drawn, many countries now have better monitoring and reporting systems in place.

Almost half of those who have died of AIDS and are currently infected with HIV or AIDS are women. Equally significant, and disturbing, is that a large number of children are not only becoming orphans but are also increasingly infected either at birth or shortly thereafter. One out of every third child born to a pregnant mother is likely to be infected. Drugs that can be given to pregnant HIV infected women are expensive: several developing countries have health budgets that allocate only one US dollar per person.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>People newly infected with HIV in 2000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Women)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children &lt; 15 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of people living with HIV/AIDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Women)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children &lt; 15 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AIDS Deaths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Women)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children &lt; 15 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total number of AIDS deaths since the beginning of the epidemic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Women)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children &lt; 15 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STORY OF THE SHIFTING PARADIGMS

In the early years of the epidemic, the HIV/AIDS problem came to be viewed in terms of ‘sin’ or ‘moral retribution for bad behaviour’. With homosexuals, sex workers and intravenous drug users becoming disproportionately affected, the ‘moral paradigm’ predominated. As virologists developed a better understanding of the modes of transmission and virus replication, and with the infection entering the
The historic United Nations General Assembly Special Session on AIDS held in New York in June 2001 underlined how the HIV/AIDS problem has emerged as a major developmental issue, threatening the well-being and security of nations and the people of the world, irrespective of race, nationality, colour or any other consideration. A truly global problem that demands no less than a global response — this was the message of the Session on AIDS held in New York in June 2001.

Throughout the epidemic, certain conceptual and terminological issues have continued to loom large. The issue of whether HIV causes AIDS or not, and whether people die of AIDS, has now entered the realm of politics with the present President of South Africa in disagreement with the medical establishment. Early preventive efforts concentrated on so-called ‘high risk groups’, with gay men, sex workers and intravenous drug users being classified as belonging to such groups. There was subsequently a shift to ‘vulnerable’ groups. When the infection entered the heterosexual community, some laws classified the wives of men who have multiple partners as a high-risk group. With HIV/AIDS being classified in some countries as a venereal disease or a sexually transmitted disease, persons who had become infected as a result of contaminated blood transfusions found themselves classified in the same category as those who had contracted HIV and STDs through casual sex.

Some of the conceptual and terminological issues surfaced during the UN General Assembly Special Session, with some Islamic nations objecting to the Conference documentation refusing to use unacceptable language. Within the Asia and Pacific region, a series of regional workshops was organised by UNDP in 1986 to examine the HIV-related law and law reform issues, including human rights issues. One such regional workshop was held in Beijing before the famous women's conference and this marked the first occasion where a UN-sponsored activity held in China openly discussed human rights issues.

Among the human rights identified as being relevant to the HIV/AIDS context are the following:

- non-discrimination/equality before the law;
- privacy;
- liberty;
- freedom from inhuman/degrading treatment;
- freedom of movement;
- freedom from forced servitude;
- health;
- education/information;
- work;
- social security;
- seeking and enjoying asylum;
- marriage and founding a family.

The relevance of these rights to HIV/AIDS and their public health rationale are set out in the Annex to this article.

**APPLICATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS IN THE NATIONAL CONTEXT**

There are well-documented examples of how each of the rights listed above has posed problems to persons with HIV/AIDS in one or more countries. Space does not permit a detailed discussion: hence, it is proposed to list some of the common forms of restrictions placed upon persons with HIV/AIDS and provide selected examples from one country, namely India, of some of the practical situations where these restrictions have occurred.
Restrictions placed upon persons with HIV/AIDS fall into the following broad categories:

(1) restrictions on the movement of persons across national frontiers;
(2) restrictions on freedom of internal travel due to compulsory hospitalisation or other reason such as quarantine or isolation;
(3) restrictions on sexual behaviour;
(4) restrictions on donations of blood, semen, breast-milk or organs;
(5) restrictions on freedom of occupation; and
(6) other miscellaneous forms of restrictions.

Some restrictions have a valid public health rationale – others do not. A number of considerations are taken into account in determining whether a particular restriction should be imposed or not. Among these are the following:

- medical, scientific, technological readiness/validity;
- legal, constitutional, ethical and human rights acceptability/compatibility (is it the least restrictive option?);
- cultural, social and religious acceptability;
- political expediency; and
- administrative and economic feasibility.

In India, testing of foreign students was a major controversial issue. African students, in particular, complained that they were being victimised. This was followed shortly thereafter by a draft bill seeking to prohibit Indian nationals from marrying foreigners. After much opposition, this bill was not proceeded with. A regulation was made under the Railways Act making it obligatory for a person with AIDS to inform the stationmaster of his or her condition and for such person to travel in isolation in a separate compartment. In a country with overcrowded trains, this made no sense at all. The regulation was subsequently repealed. The HIV/AIDS problem came up for consideration before the courts on a number of occasions. Activists and affected persons challenged compulsory detention orders. Public interest litigation instituted by a non-governmental organisation (NGO) sought to compel the Commissioner of Prisons to make condoms available in prisons, while another NGO intervened to prevent condoms being made available. In what turned out to be a controversial decision, the Supreme Court held that in the case of a HIV-infected person the right to marry remains suspended so long as he remains infected (which is in all probability life-long). This was a case instance, kills 3,000 persons a day. The resurgence of TB in certain parts of the world poses a new challenge because of drug-resistant viruses. In the specific context of HIV/AIDS prevention, there is a need to improve access to safe blood supply systems; good quality and reasonably priced drugs are essential; condom availability needs to be scaled up; treatment and care must be universally available; and finally, education and information campaigns must seek to bridge the information gap that currently exists.

All in all, what is required is a concerted attack on the root causes of poverty, poor governance, and slow infrastructure development. A human rights-based approach that seeks to enhance the quality of life in all its dimensions must address economic, social, cultural, legal and religious aspects and spiritual values.

**ANNEXE**

**HUMAN RIGHTS AND HIV / AIDS**

**HUMAN RIGHT**

NON-DISCRIMINATION/ EQUALITY BEFORE THE LAW

PRIVACY

LIBERTY

APPLICATION TO HIV / AIDS

Promote access of disadvantaged groups to HIV prevention and care programmes.

Prevent discrimination against HIV + or those suspected in employment, travel, health care, education, social assistance.
Prevent mandatory testing.
Prevent routine or hidden tests
Ensure confidentiality
Ensure informed consent before tests, treatment or research
Prevent arrest/detention on account of HIV status alone
Prevent isolation or segregation in administrative setting on account of HIV status alone

PUBLIC HEALTH RATIONALE
Preventing/care efforts should reach all, including those difficult to reach.
Almost no threat of transmission in these settings
Mandatory testing
Can never reveal identity of all HIV +
May involve false test results
Drives people away from prevention/health care efforts
Results in psycho trauma if no counselling
Involves diversion of resources better spent on education/health care
Detention/isolation
No public health rationale; does not prevent spread
Is costly and impracticable since life long

HUMAN RIGHT
FREEDOM FROM INHUMAN/ DEGRADING TREATMENT
FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT
FREEDOM FROM FORCED SERVITUDE

APPLICATION TO HIV/AIDS
Promote measures to protect against sexual violence/sexual coercion
Prevent compulsory isolation or segregation of prisoners HIV +
Prevent forced abortion/ sterilization of HIV + women
Prohibit testing of returning nationals
Prohibit testing of entering foreigners
Regarding cost of care, HIV/AIDS be treated the same as comparable diseases
Prevent trafficking in women, men or children
Prevent forced prostitution or involuntary servitude for sexual purposes
Prevent harmful traditional practices, e.g. marriage of minors, wife inheritance, and female genital mutilation.

PUBLIC HEALTH RATIONALE
Any coerced sex increases risk of transmission
No public health rationale for isolating prisoners; more useful to make available information, clean needles, bleach and condoms
Only 1 out of 3 babies born infected if mother infected, much less if AZT used
Exclusion does not prevent spread, as HIV is already present
Exclusion gives false sense of security
Better to use resources on education/security
Any coerced sex increases risk of transmission
Difficulty of access for prevention and care
Harmful traditional practices can increase social and/or biological vulnerability.

HUMAN RIGHT
HEALTH
EDUCATION/ INFORMATION
WORK

APPLICATION TO HIV/AIDS
Promote equal access for disadvantaged, vulnerable groups to HIV health prevention and care programmes
Prevent discrimination against HIV + persons in health care
Promote confidentiality in health care setting
Promote blood safety through screening of all blood, body parts and fluids
Prevent equal access for disadvantaged, vulnerable groups to HIV prevention education/information
Prevent discrimination against HIV + people in access to education facilities
Prevent pre-employment or during employment mandatory testing
Prevent isolation or termination due to HIV status
Ensure reassignment/ accommodation according to health needs
Ensure same employment policies for HIV/AIDS as for any comparable disease
Ensure confidentiality in work place

PUBLIC HEALTH RATIONALE
Prevention/care should reach all people, including those difficult to reach
If use universal precautions, little risk of transmission
Should maintain confidence in health care
Prevention/care should reach all people, including those difficult to reach
Virtually no risk of transmission in educational setting with basic precautions
Almost no risk of transmission in work place with basic precautions
HIV + person can and should be productive for long time
HUMAN RIGHT
SOCIAL SECURITY
SEEK AND ENJOY ASYLUM
MARRY AND FOUND A FAMILY
APPLICATION TO HIV/AIDS
Promote equal access of women to social security and other available benefits in case of termination of marriage/employment
Prevent discrimination for HIV+ people in access to social security
Prohibit refoulment on basis of HIV status
Promote humane/generous grant of asylum for HIV+
Prevent pre-marital mandatory HIV testing
Prevent prenatal mandatory HIV testing
Provide information/counselling regarding risks/prevention

PUBLIC HEALTH RATIONALE
Women should have alternative to relationships that threaten them with infection, and to support, if husband dies
HIV+ people need means of support
No public health rationale to deny asylum
Refugee cannot return home to persecution
HIV+ married people can practice safe sex
Only 1 in 3 babies born infected if mother infected, much less if AZT used.
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Ireland
Rogue directors – time to change careers?
by Pat Igoe

In October a former leading member of the Irish Brokers Association, Tony Taylor, was sentenced by a Dublin court to five years in jail for fraud and related offences. Businessmen finding themselves on the wrong side of prison walls in Ireland are no longer the stuff of fantasy. New laws are now fast demanding new respect.

Earlier this year, the UK Trade and Industry Secretary, Patricia Hewitt, received a 559-page report from the Company Law Review Steering Group which, in the group's words, provided an 'opportunity to bring British company law from the nineteenth to the twenty-first century'. Similar and possibly even more significant improvements are being put in place in Ireland. They are long overdue.

Laws that are not enforced or enforceable fall into disrepute and then ridicule. Prior to the enactment this year of the Company Law Enforcement Act, the Companies Code was boring and turgid and too often ignored. It is still boring and turgid, but the new penalties for infringements by businessmen are real. Restriction and disqualification from acting as directors, and personal exposure of wrongdoings, will now be real risks and not just subjects for seminars attended mainly by academic lawyers.

Company directors queuing before the District Court and mumbling when their case is called that they thought that their accountants had filed the annual returns get short shift. In addition to the cost and inconvenience of spending up to half a day in court waiting for their case to come on, erring businessmen have found themselves facing fines averaging IR£400 or 10 days in prison – and this at the venial end of the scale of corporate wrong-doing.

The Companies Act 1963 in Ireland (which, incidentally, is substantially modelled on the British Companies Act 1948) probably has a greater number of criminal sanctions that any other Act on the statute book. Despite this, up until recently nobody greatly cared, and enforcement was regarded mainly as a private matter. Now all is changed, and changed utterly: enter a serious system of enforcement regime, exit the ancien regime.

The Company Law Enforcement Act complements legislation which established the Criminal Assets Bureau (CAB) five years ago. In its current annual report, the CAB reported that the High Court made 19 orders freezing assets which were shown, on the civil standard of proof, to be the proceeds of crime. The assets exceeded IR£3,900,000 in value.

The CAB is also charged with instituting tax collection enforcement proceedings on moneys derived from suspected criminal activity. The Ireland of recent financial scandals, with tribunals established by Parliament uncovering murky dealing in high places, and where the circumstances of the death of murdered journalist Veronica Guerin are still clearly remembered, is no longer a tolerant environment towards delinquent business people. Like drink driving, commercial crime is no longer regarded as ‘OK’.