To my mind, the fundamental characteristic of the pre-industrial economy is the co-existence of the inflexibility, inertia, and slow-motion, characteristic of an economy that was still primitive, alongside trends - limited and in the minority, yet active and powerful - that were characteristic of modern growth. On the one hand, peasants lived in their villages in an almost autonomous way, virtually in an autarchy; on the other hand, a market oriented economy and an expanding capitalism began to spread out, gradually creating the very world in which we live ... Thus we have two universes, two ways of life foreign to each other, yet whose respective wholes explain one another.*

This paper is about the interpenetration of these "two universes" - the market oriented and the self-sufficient - in a remote corner of the eighteenth century colonial world. Its subject is production, consumption and exchange in the Cape district of Graaff Reinet during the last three or four decades of the eighteenth century. Its primary purpose is to establish that the European inhabitants of Graaff Reinet, despite their geographical distance from the commercial centre of de Kaap and the apparent isolation of their dwellings, were, like their kinsmen living closer to Cape Town, inextricably involved in production for the market (or the production of commodities). It goes on to suggest that this aspect of their lives bore heavily upon them, so that, despite their partial reliance upon home-produced goods and services, many of them struggled to make ends meet, while a small minority grew rich, and became creditors of the poorer majority.

This view of the frontier economy is at variance with that developed by Leonard Guelke in a series of recent articles on the dynamics of frontier expansion at the Cape. (1) Guelke's research was in part directed towards the rebuttal of a seminal work by S D Neumark, published in 1957. (2) Neumark had laid great stress on the role played by commodity production in frontier economic life. And he had gone so far as to suggest that the rapid expansion of the South African frontier was principally fuelled by the growing market demand emanating from a rise in the number of ships (especially foreign ships) calling at the Cape. "Farmers' ... need for land and cattle", he wrote, "was chiefly determined by the possibilities of

exchanging the produce of their land and livestock for articles which could not be produced on the farm." (3) By showing that there was no correlation between the rate at which new loan farms were issued on the frontiers and fluctuations in the number of foreign ships calling at the Cape, Guelke established that the level of demand was not the major factor behind the growth of the settlement. (4) But he went on from here to challenge the very foundations of Neumark's argument, asserting that commodity production was no more than a peripheral aspect of frontier economic life. The difficulties of transport to and from the Cape (which, by implication, he took to be the only commercial outlet) were so great, he argued, that frontier farmers were "under enormous economic pressure" to keep their exchanges with the outside world "to a bare minimum". (5) In so far as possible, he wrote, they met their needs independently of the market, producing their own food and clothing and manufacturing their own tools and farm equipment. (6) The result, he concluded, was a primitive, undifferentiated and self-reliant economy, in which there was little scope for specialisation or accumulation: "Stock-farming brought good returns on the investment of small amounts of capital, but further investments produced rapidly diminishing returns. In the arable areas wealth became ever more unevenly distributed with the emergence of a small, very rich group, but in the stock-farming regions of the open frontier, most people were rather poor, although they lived in rough comfort and were free of large debts." (7)

The general poverty of the veeboeren in comparison to arable farmers is not in dispute, though those living in Graaff Reinet in the last quarter of the eighteenth century appear to have been substantially better off than those in the Colony-wide sample taken by Guelke for the period 1771-1780. (8) However, it is the contention of this paper that their self-sufficiency has been greatly exaggerated, not only by Guelke but also by other historians of frontier life, with the exception of Robert Ross (and, of course, S D Neumark). (9) Furthermore, it will be argued, for those able to break out of the cycle of impoverishment created by their dependence on markets which they could not control, there were indeed opportunities for substantial accumulation.

The implications of this argument for the social history of Graaff Reinet and, in particular, for the unwilling Khoisan labour force of the district, deserve extended examination and are the major concern of my own research, but this is not the place to explore them. The immediate purpose of this paper is simply to establish the accuracy of an alternative view of the frontier economy. Since it is at variance with majority opinion, it is necessary to present the evidence in some detail.

There are, of course, various categories of evidence. First, there are the narratives of contemporary travellers and diarists: being on the eastern frontiers of the Colony, where it bordered Xhosaland, Graaf Reinet, or the area which acquired this name in 1786, attracted no small number of perspicacious travellers - Anders Sparrman, C P Thunberg, Robert Jacob Gordon and Henry Lichtenstein, to name but a few. (10) However, taken alone, their evidence can be used to support both views of frontier economic life - one could emphasise evidence of commerce or of self-sufficiency according to one's discretion. Therefore, if one is to avoid a mere exchange of quotations, one must turn to the archives of Graaf Reinet itself and of those Cape Town bodies which regulated its economic affairs. Unfortunately, there was no Chamber of Commerce in Cape Town at this time, nor was there a bank or even a special department of government dealing with internal commerce. Private trade flourished, despite the restrictions placed upon it, but, in the nature of things, it did so largely unrecorded. Only cases involving a breach of regulations, or a petition for special treatment, reached the office of the Fiscal or the ears of the Council of Policy. The archive of the latter, of course, provides a major source of information about the economy of the Colony in general, including, for example, the operation of the meat concession and problems of supply in frontier districts. However, while they offer broad insights into the problems and aspirations of frontiersmen, these records cannot illuminate the inner workings of individual households.
The historian is left with one trump card: the records of the Orphan Chamber (Weeskamer). This body, run by senior Company officials and burger representatives, was responsible for the administration and settlement of deceased and insolvent estates. It handled all the affairs of intestate estates, from the initial freezing and auction of assets to the settlement of debts and the final distribution of the inheritance; and in the case of testate estates it kept copies of wills, inventories and valuations. Its archive is therefore a fairly complete source for the examination of the economic condition of a conjugal household at the moment of its dissolution.

Since the law of succession in force at the Cape, as in the rest of the Dutch East Indies, enforced a rigid partibility of the conjugal estate — one half-share going to the surviving spouse (nearly all marriages were contracted in community of property) and the other half being divided equally among the children or other heirs of the deceased — virtually every household possession was valued or put up for auction on the death of either partner. Where a will was made, it usually did little more than preserve the estate intact until the death or remarriage of the second spouse and/or the coming of age of the heirs. (11) Should the widow or widower named in the will of a testate estate remarry, the executors were obliged to value its assets in order to assess the portions due to the heirs. Thus the documents filed with the Orphan Chamber give a detailed breakdown of assets and liabilities, including the most insignificant of personal possessions. What they cannot reveal, of course, is the extent to which property was transferred from one generation to the next inter vivos, or the extent of illegal pilfering or redistribution which took place before the valuation of a deceased estate. Further, while they specify all assets and liabilities in existence at the time of death, they are inevitably a poor substitute for a full statement of the household's current economic activity, at this or any other stage in its life cycle. Nevertheless, the archive of the Orphan Chamber is the best source that we have and, if used in conjunction with other sources, it can do much to illuminate the dynamics of frontier life.

I chose my data from the records of the Orphan Chamber according to the following, rather tortuous method. First, I made a list of all taxpayers on the first tax roll (Opgaafrol) of Graaff Reinet district, which was compiled in 1787. (12) I then arranged them according to the families (lineages) to which they belonged, because it seemed that any investigation of wealth or of patterns of credit and debt should, in so far as possible, explore relationships among members of the same kin group, as well as their relations with the wider world. The tax roll for 1787 listed 637 taxpayers, who divided into 235 patrilineages or kin groups (some of which had more than 20 taxpaying members resident in the district, others only one). I then turned to the accounts of intestate estates liquidated between c1764 and 1812 (13) and recorded the details of all those belonging to any member of the above 235 kin groups. This produced 303 deceased estates, most (but not all) of whose owners had lived on or near the eastern borders of the Colony. These were then arranged according to the value of their net assets. A random sample produced 72 estates of varying values. (14) Finally, the 292 solvent estates were subdivided in deciles and the two median estates in each decile were selected for closer examination. For the purposes of this paper, I have chosen to focus primarily on the first 30 estates in the random sample and on the 20 "medians".

The first task of this paper is more easily fulfilled than the second. There are a number of ways in which one can suggest the extent to which the exchange of commodities had penetrated the very fabric of life on the Cape frontier. The inventories and Vendu-rollen drawn up during the valuation or liquidation of deceased estates provide a useful starting point and serve as a window on the material life and cultural expectations of frontier veeboeren. Indeed, they are so detailed that it is easy to forget that they give us only a partial view, especially with respect to the more ephemeral aspects of material culture, such as food, clothing and narcotics. Fortunately, it is possible to fill out the picture with the help of other estate papers and the journals of travellers alluded to above.
In Appendix I I have rearranged the contents of seven selected Vendu rollen (either median estates or those in the sample) in such a way as to suggest the extent to which household assets were acquired by purchase rather than made at home. There will, of course, be guesswork and uncertainty in an exercise of this sort: from the vantage point of the late twentieth century, it is easy to underestimate the artisanal abilities of country folk. A farmer possessed of simple carpentry tools such as a saw, a chisel, a drill, a plane and an adze could probably make most of his own furniture and some of the storage vessels in daily use, such as butter vats, buckets, casks, etc. He could perhaps also construct most of the parts which went to make up a waggon; indeed, Mentzel asserted that he "knew farmers who made their own waggons including the wheels, except the naves and even these they would have made, had they had the proper drills". (14a) Certainly they often did their own repairs. But when it came to more specialized work, such as saddlemaking, blacksmithing, or cooperage involving the construction of large wine casks, the evidence points rather to the employment of specialised craftsmen than to home manufacture.

Guelke has argued that the dispersed settlement patterns of the trekboer districts precluded the emergence of artisans or specialised craftsmen of any sort, and in support of this view he has drawn attention to the "complete absence of any urban development" in frontier districts. (15) It is true that Graaff Reinet village remained small throughout the first 25 years of its existence and that the artisans permanently resident there were few in number. In 1803 the village accommodated only 25 resident taxpayers. (16) Lichtenstein described it as consisting of about 20 houses, with the gardens built in a straight line (to) form a tolerably broad street ... The inhabitants of these houses are a smith, a waggon-wright, a saddler, a carpenter and other handicraft workers, who gain a very good livelihood ...

However, the majority of artisans in Graaff Reinet did not live in the village, but rather combined their trades with the more general activities of the stock-breeder's vocation. It much be remembered that nearly all the inhabitants of Graaff Reinet district were the descendants of men who had come to the Cape almost accidentally, in the course of their service with the Dutch East India Company, usually as soldiers in the garrison at the Castle. They came from northern Europe, from the depressed rural areas of the German states, from the ports of the Baltic and from the manufacturing towns of Holland and Zealand. (18) Many had acquired trades before poverty forced them to sign on with the VOC, and they found a ready market for their imported skills in Cape Town and among the inland farmers. We do not know to what extent they passed them on to their Africaner descendants - perhaps the more sophisticated skills were lost with the passage of time; but the point is rather that each year a trickle of new soldier-immigrants entered Cape society, and some found their way to the frontiers, as discharged Company servants or as knegten and artisans on loan to the veeboeren. From there it was but a short step to full acceptance into the freeburger community. In 1787, for example, one year after the establishment of Graaff Reinet as a separate district, there were 30 German immigrants on the burger roll, among whom were a blacksmith, a baker, a joiner, 2 masons, 2 tailors, 2 shoemakers and a turner. (19) And there were others, such as the masons and carpenters engaged to build the Drostdy, who had not yet received their discharge. (20) By 1798, 85 Company servants had found employment in the district, 46 as knegten, 7 as carpenters, 6 as tailors, 6 as shoemakers, 4 as masons, 13 as "schoolmasters" (a profession which rapidly fell into disrepute) and 3 as, respectively, a tanner, a brazier and a saddle-maker. (21) Most of these men were living on outlying farms, where they subsequently married into the families of their employers or their neighbours (22), but a few, including the brazier, the tanner and the saddle-maker, had settled in the village. (23)
These, then, were the craftsmen and "mechanics" of the eastern frontier. They melted quickly into rural society, acquiring livestock and bijwoner status even before their discharge from Company service (24), but they continued to ply their trades, forming small nuclei within the network of local exchanges. For the most part, the record of their activities has been lost, but we catch occasional glimpses in the papers of the Orphan Chamber. Thus, for example, the schuldboek of the Heemraad Fredrik Potgieter (grandson of a Westphalian blacksmith) (25) of the farm Goedgelooif at the Kroonriviermond, records the output of his carpentry shop, which produced buckets, churns, furniture and door frames for neighbouring burgers. (26) And the Heemraaden Jacobus Botha and Joshua Joubert, Gideonzoen, likewise African-born, presided over domestic smithies (manned by one or two skilled slaves) which, despite their simple equipment (see Appendix 1), were a source of nails, axes, spades, and other tools for surrounding farmers. (27) For these men the exercise of a trade was probably of peripheral importance, whereas for recent immigrants, such as the blacksmith Gerrit Hendrik Catenbrink of Wehlau, it was the primary source of a rather modest livelihood. (28) They were paid sometimes in cash, sometimes in kind, while sometimes, as their papers reveal, they were never paid at all. The point which concerns us, however, is that one cannot infer from the simplicity of the tools and equipment listed in the Vendurollen that they were predominantly of domestic manufacture – the products of an autarchic mode of life.

An examination of the list of consumer goods may make the point more forcibly. In 4 out of the 7 cases presented in Appendix 1, purchased consumer goods comprise more than 50% of the total owned by the household, and the ratio would be the same, or higher, if one were to examine a wider sample. Again, one should not be misled by the rude conditions of frontier living. Europeans of refined taste who travelled among the inhabitants of the eastern districts were often dismayed by the rough and ready construction of their houses and the primacy of their sleeping arrangements (though even in the respectable bourgeois household of Cape Town the voorkamer had only recently emerged as distinct from the slaapkamer or general family living room). (29) Hendrik Swellengrebel's description of the burgers of Camdebo (then, in 1776, at the furthest reaches of the settled domain) is particularly graphic:

... most of them now live not much better than the Hottentots. Though they live here at most four or five wagon stages from the forests, and thus could build good houses, their dwellings here consist of a wall of clay raised to a height of 3 or 4 feet, above which is a roof of reeds. There are no divisions into rooms; no chimney, so that the smoke goes out through a hole in the roof; a door of reeds is tied with a rope, and there is a square hole for a window; the bedsteads are separated from each other by a Hottentot's mat so that the sleeping arrangements are pretty sociable; the floor is of clay mixed with dung. On this everything stands in confusion together; butter churn, freshly slaughtered cattle, bread, etc; while amongst them a menagerie of hens, ducks and little pigs runs around; and the doves actually nest in the roof. The furniture is appropriately a small table, or, lacking that, a wooden box, and 3 or 4 camp stools whose seats are of hide. These barns that are scarcely 40 feet long and 15 foot broad, held on some farms two or even three families and their children. Thus cleanliness was not considerable ... I have found only two houses that were decently built and maintained in cleanliness, but were still far from luxurious ... (30)

Swellengrebel ascribed this state of affairs to "the limited traffic in their products", owing to the difficulties of communications with de Kaap, and he confidently prophesied that, if things continued thus, the inhabitants of Camdebo would become "een geheel verwilderde natie". (31)
However, poor and "badly off for the amenities of human life" (32) as they may have been when measured against the standards of their compatriots in the south-west Cape (and not all were equally so), they shared a single material culture; and the demands of this culture—"those aspects of life that control us without our even being aware of them" (33)—propelled them, as surely as did the rational capitalist imperatives of the arable farmers, into the arms of the merchants and money-lenders of Cape Town and their local clients and equivalents on the frontier.

Their dwellings may have borne a superficial resemblance to the long-houses of the Amerindians (rather than the Khoikhoi, who preferred a greater privacy), but one should not be deceived: the monotonous regularity with which certain essential items recur in the inventories bears testimony to their dependence on imported commodities, no matter how simple their style of life. Saws and pickaxes, carpentry tools, canvas, ploughshares and guns, tin spoons and forks, pewter plates, iron pots, woollen blankets, glass bottles and copper kettles may not have impressed a visitor from Europe, and were indeed often old and battered (34), but they were regarded as essentials in the meanest of households (35) and they had from time to time to be replaced.

There was, besides, a wide range of items which formed part of recurrent expenditure but which were not reflected in the Vendurollen. There were producer goods such as canvas, tar, rosin, paint, gunpowder and lead, for example, and personal effects such as buttons, razors, hairpins, handkerchiefs and needles, mirrors, medicines, hair oils, flints and tinder boxes. And there were groceries and textiles, which accounted for a great part of trekboer indebtedness to local pedlars and merchants.

The country style of dress was certainly different from that of fashionable Cape Town. Members of the upper stratum of townsmen, clustered around the higher officials of the VOC, did their best to emulate the dress of fashionable Europe, in so far as the erratic patterns of the import trade permitted. Both men and women wore gaily coloured silks, satins, cashmeres and cambrics, trimmed with ribbons, bows, flounces of lace and fine embroidery. (36) No respectable townsman would venture out without his coat and matching waistcoat, his cocked hat, cravat and knitted stockings and a well-heeled pair of shoes. It goes without saying that such dress was impracticable on the plains of Camdebo, but the trekboers could not dispense with fabrics altogether. Veldschoenen, leather trousers and waistcoats, made from the skins of smaller game, were part of every frontiersman's wardrobe (37), and his broad-brimmed hat might be made of plaited leaves of the palmiet plant, but comfort, convenience and decorum dictated that both men and women availed themselves of the cheaper cotton and woollen fabrics imported from Asia and Europe. Chief among these were linens, broadcloth, baize (basij— a coarse woollen cloth used also for the canopies of four-poster beds), baft (a coarse cotton cloth), canvas or duck and the many varieties of printed, checked or striped Indian cloths imported by the VOC. (38) These were made up into the simple loose shirts, short single-breasted jackets, striped waistcoats, baggy pantaloons and knitted cotton night-caps which became almost a uniform among the graziers (39), while the women wore gowns of plain cotton, gingham or Indian chintz, depending on their status and the nature of the occasion. (40) A camisole, a petticoat, a printed kerchief, a close-fitting cap and the characteristic quilted kappie completed the female wardrobe.

Mentzel may have been right when he observed that "the country women of Africa do not need many clothes" (41), but those they did need involved them in a considerable expenditure. Most households were in perennial debt on account of their purchases of cloth (42), for prices were remarkably high. (43) One ell (three quarters of a yard) of baize cost 4 schellings in 1779, 60% of the sale price of a wether! Flemish linen was sold in the interior districts at between 5 and 6
schellings per ell (35% of the value of a wether by 1800), and even the ubiquitous voerchitzen (pieces of coarse red and black printed Indian cloth from which a whole dress could be made up) cost nearly 40% of the value of a slaughter beast. (44)

With respect to food, the veeboeren were probably more self-sufficient than in any other area of their lives. The preponderance of meat in their diet was noted by many a visitor. Bread and cereals were scarce, except on farms where irrigation was possible indeed many boers were compelled to purchase or barter grain from their neighbours (45), but abundant supplies of mutton and game helped make good the deficiency. As Lichtenstein observed, the wealthier colonists sometimes had to feed a "family" (including relatives, slaves and Khoi servants) of more than 100 people. (46) To this end, he averred, they might slaughter 600 wethers each year (47): "from the produce of the lands and flocks must the whole tribe be fed ..." (46) For the poorer graziers, such a high consumption of mutton was out of the question (see below) and game, especially biltong, the smoked and dried flesh of buffalo and antelope, "which people heretalhouws use as bread" (49) took its place. Frequent hunting parties took a heavy toll of wild animals in the eastern Karroo (50), but springbok, quagga, buffalo and the larger antelopes could still be found in abundance at the turn of the century. (51) Even the wealthiest farmers looked to this source (and to their herds of goats) for the maintenance of themselves and their servants. At Jacobus Botha's grazing farms on the Piesang river, "the whole roof in the kitchen was hung with thick slices of buffalo flesh", by which means Botha was able to feed "a whole society of more than 50 Hottentots who were here in (his) service". (52)

Besides meat (including poultry and pigs), most boers had access to home-grown fruit and vegetables (though, again, there was a lively local trade in dried fruit). Milk, by contrast, was scarce, and the reason is not far to seek: the price of salted butter was consistently high in relation to that of other commodities (see Appendix 2) and, despite the heat of the Karroo climate, it could apparently be stored for long periods and successfully marketed at de Kaap. (53) Buttermilk, however, was readily available and much esteemed. (54)

In the province of food, then, the trekboer household may have approximated Lichtenstein's image of "a state in miniature, in which the wants and means of supplying them are reciprocal". (55) Yet, a reading of estate papers suggests that even the poorest frontiersmen made regular purchases of commodities dismissed by Lichtenstein as luxuries: tea, coffee beans, sugar, rice, spices, tobacco, and snuff. Tea and coffee were an integral part of the daily regime in the households of the arable districts.

"No-one", observed Mentzel, "leaves the breakfast table before he has drunk half a dozen cups of tea, and the men have smoked a pipe of tobacco. At 12 o'clock the midday meal is taken; at 3 p.m. the tea-kettle is again on the table." (56)

In the distant reaches of the Karroo the consumption of tea may have been much lower, though the omnipresence of the tea-pot and chafing dish indicates that it was likewise considered a necessity. At the officially sponsored auctions held in Graaff Reinet during the first decade of the 19th century it was customary to buy just 2 lbs of tea and one voerchitz, perhaps as a present with which to win the favour of a wife, mistress or daughter. (57) Surviving bills and accounts among the papers of deceased estates suggest that tea, like sugar and coffee beans, was normally purchased in quantities of 2 to 6 lbs at a time, though occasionally a frontiersman would buy a whole case. (58) Spices such as saffron, cinnamon, ginger, nutmeg, chillies, cayenne pepper and cloves were likewise deemed indispensable. Africander cuisine was essentially creole and was heavily influenced by the customs of Batavia: meat and vegetables were highly spiced and flavoured with a variety of vegetable atjars (chutneys) and sambals. (59) In the western districts rice was the
usual accompaniment to these dishes; on the frontier it may well have been considered a luxury, though there are records of frontiersmen buying rice by the 50-lb bag. (60)

Finally, tobacco, wine and brandy must likewise be classed as essentials in the diet of the Cape frontiersman. A non-smoking trekboer would have been a rarity on the eastern frontier, and inebriation, despite Lichtenstein’s protestations to the contrary (61), was a common condition. Both tobacco and alcohol could, in theory, be produced and consumed at the same point (Stephanus Naude, for example, produced 152 lbs of tobacco in 1801) but, in practice, tobacco was largely imported, or rather smuggled into the Colony under the noses of Company officials (62), while wine and brandy were produced by a handful of privileged graziers (63) and sold by the bottle or the cask to passing travellers (64), or carted about the country for sale to the inhabitants. (65)

As individuals moved up the scale of wealth, their dependence on the world of exchanges grew greater, not less. Land had always been commoditized at the Cape and the grazing farms of the Karroo were no exception. However, the poorer graziers and young men starting out on life could normally find places as bijwoners (66) or, if they were prepared to move beyond the settled districts and risk their lives and property in the escalating conflict with the Khoisan, they could appropriate "new" land on the open frontier. The northern divisions of Graaff Reinet, indeed, were largely colonized by men and women in their twenties and early thirties. (67) However, the best places—those with irrigable land, good all-year-round grazing and access to the main wagon routes—rapidly acquired a price. By the turn of the century, moreover, there was little untaken land to be had, and the earlier tendency for sons to move away at marriage (68) was reversed, so that by 1798 some 41 percent of all bijwoners were married men living with their fathers or fathers-in-law. (69) Increasingly, therefore, secure access to good land became dependent on purchase. And the evidence suggests that it changed hands among the wealthy with surprising frequency. (70) Prices ranged very widely, so that any attempt to calculate a mean price would have little value, but by the last decade of the 18th century the better farms in Graaff Reinet district fetched auction prices of between 1000 and 4000 rix dollars, while undeveloped or ill-favoured pastures could be obtained for 300 rix dollars or less. (71) The preference of the well-to-do for registered ownership (72) rather than unregistered tenancy is further suggested by an examination of the median estates referred to above: 7 of the 10 median estates in the top 6 deciles held more than 20% of their gross assets in land (see Appendix 3), while of 19 sampled estates in the top 5 deciles, 7 (i.e. 36%) held more than 30% of their assets in this form. (73)

Slaves, too, figured more prominently among the assets of wealthy pastoralists than has hitherto been supposed. (74) The absolute number per household may have been low, and the proportion of slaves to freeburgers in the district as a whole much lower than in the arable districts of the western Cape (75), but, in relation to the value of other assets, slaves assumed a marked importance in the higher deciles. Figure I shows the proportion of assets held in land and slaves by sampled estates in the top 5 deciles. (76)

Livestock, too, had its price, and there was a lively trade in horses and trek oxen, not only at the periodic auctions of deceased estates but also privately, among neighbours and relatives, who not infrequently took one another to court over the debts incurred. (77) Alas, Sparrman’s felicitous image of the boer who “with pleasure, but without the least trouble to himself ... sees the herds and flocks which constitute his riches, daily and considerably increasing ...” (78) is as loose an approximation to the harsh realities of the frontier as Barrow’s picture of the immigrant boer who could help himself to vacant land wherever he pleased. (79) In practice, the livestock holdings of the poor were sometimes too small to be self-reproducing, while those of the rich were augmented by substantial purchases of breeding stock. (80)
## Figure I:
Proportion of gross assets held in the form of land or slaves, 1764-1812 (76)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decile</th>
<th>Estate No</th>
<th>Slaves</th>
<th>Land</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>% of gross assets</td>
<td>absolute value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10th</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>20% (22)</td>
<td>6892 rds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>281</td>
<td>39% (18)</td>
<td>9323 rds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>286</td>
<td>54% (13)</td>
<td>10717 rds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>285</td>
<td>52% (47)</td>
<td>23729 rds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>281</td>
<td>13% (10)</td>
<td>2914 rds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>23% (4)</td>
<td>3154 rds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>255</td>
<td>29% (14)</td>
<td>3047 rds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>254</td>
<td>29% (9)</td>
<td>3025 rds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>2% (1)</td>
<td>61 rds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>234</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>225</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>222</td>
<td>6% (1)</td>
<td>400 rds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>15% (3)</td>
<td>906 rds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>205</td>
<td>2% (2)</td>
<td>290 rds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>186</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>174</td>
<td>6% (2)</td>
<td>206 rds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>167</td>
<td>10% (2)</td>
<td>245 rds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>186</td>
<td>42% (3)</td>
<td>1000 rds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The presence of small luxuries among the household effects of the more prosperous frontiersman is a further reminder of the essential unity of colonial material culture. Travelling through the heartland of Swellendam district at the turn of the century, Lichtenstein had noted with appreciation how there is a much greater difference between the higher and the lower class of the inhabitants, between the master and the servants, both in their dress and in their habits, than in many other parts, particularly the Roggeveld. The great trade in cattle, which places the farmers in affluence, and the much more frequent intercourse with the Cape Town ... has introduced a sort of luxury and refinement among the higher classes ... most of them are dressed in fine linen or cotton, and their houses are neat and spacious ... (81)

The well-to-do of Graaff Reinet, some of whom lived 36 days' journey from the Cape (82), were undoubtedly less given to refinement than those of the Breede river (they may also have been less affluent); their surplus income was more likely to be invested in additional producer goods, such as guns and waggons or basic consumer comforts like kitchen ranges or coffee grinders, than in fine fabrics or furniture. Nevertheless, the recurrence of items such as mirrors, curtains, table linen, porcelain, and copper candlesticks suggests that Graaff Reinetters were not immune to the blandishments of civilization. Even velvet cloth, silver knee buckles and gold watches found a market in the village (83) and many a musical trekboer was possessed of a fiddle. Most telling of all were the curtained fourposter beds which took pride of place in the living rooms of the rural gentry throughout the
Colony. (84) Very few frontiersmen could rival Jacobus Botha, who had 7 beds with blue and red hangings and chintz covers; they made do, on the whole, with the ordinary kadel. Yet canopied beds were not unknown in the district; the Heemraad Stephanus Naudé had 3, which were auctioned for more than 50 rix dollars apiece, and even Willem Prinsloo, not known for his sense of propriety, had one. (85)

If, then, we have established that the colonists of Graaff Reinet were bound tightly to the wheel of local commerce, it remains to explain how this was possible, given the admittedly awful difficulties and expense of transport to and from the Cape. The answer lies primarily in the celebrated activities of the smous, or pedlar, who plied his wares in the far interior (86), and of the licensed butchers or stock traders of de Kaap. (87) Peddling and hawking in the country districts were more or less illegal throughout the Company period - few licences were granted and there were occasional attempts to prosecute unlicensed traders. However, the evidence we have points to the existence of a widespread clandestine trade, involving not only adventurers from Cape Town and the agents of established merchants, but also the veeboeren themselves, who took to the roads and waggon tracks with cart-loads of assorted merchandise. (88) In 1774, following reports from the Landdrost of Swellendam, the Council of Policy was moved to threaten dire penalties against

persons (who) have made it their business to wander about everywhere in the interior, from one District to another, with goods and merchandise conveyed on wagons, cars, horses or pack oxen, thus causing many irregularities ... (89)

but to little effect. In the spring of 1789 a dragoon named Veldbron rode right through Swellendam village, past the Landdrost's house, his three waggons piled high with merchandise. (90) His bravado, unfortunately, led to his arrest, but this apparently did little to deter those who came after him. (91)

Behind the country smousen lay the power of some of Cape Town's largest merchants. Men such as Andries Brink and Johan Adam Rens sent a steady stream of goods into the interior districts, sometimes with their own servants, sometimes with commissioned agents or with boers returning home from the Cape. (92) These were exchanged against both cash and livestock (93), or, as often as not, sold on credit. Thus veeboeren across the length and breadth of the frontier districts were bound in debt to Brink and Rens and the smaller merchants of Cape Town. (94)

These men also appear to have functioned as money-lenders, extending quite substantial sums at interest to their country clients. Thus, for example, Pieter van der Westhuisen, Pieterzoon, a burger of Graaff Reinet, owed Brink 263 rix dollars in terms of an "onderhandsche obligatie" (private loan) of 1789, while the Weduwe Jacobus Bester owed him 1523 rds "op een scheependennis" (notarial bill) and 666 rds in terms of a private loan. (95)

In payment of their debts, the trekboeren were frequently obliged to surrender the promissory notes or "slaachters briefjes" which they received from the agents of the Cape Town butchers who toured the pastoral districts throughout the year. (96) In this way, a chain of credit was established, in which the merchants served as agents and the trekboeren were defrauded, or at least compelled to buy their goods at prices well above those they could have obtained for cash. (97)

They could, of course, escape this trap by coming themselves to de Kaap, where (under normal circumstances) they could cash the briefjes and bid for their necessaries at the periodic auctions (venduties) held under the auspices of the
Company or at the houses of favoured officials. But here the constraints of distance and poor (or non-existent) roads were usually prohibitive. Most graziers travelled to the Cape once in the year, taking a load or two of butter and soap (98), but such journeys, as Guelke observed, "were fraught with difficulties" and involved the loss of weeks of labour-time, for each waggon required a driver, a voorleier, and at least one herdsman for the spare draught oxen. (99) Sometimes neighbours or relatives were hired as transport-riders (100), but in general (excepting the opportunities afforded by the auctions held occasionally under the auspices of country magistrates (101)) there was nothing for it but to depend upon the middle-men of de Kaap. The complaints laid before the British in this respect by the faraway inhabitants of the Hantam may well have been echoed in Graaff Reinet: "Having understood", they wrote,

that, at the request of the magistrates, there is arrived from England a cargo of every article necessary for agriculture etc ... the undersigned cannot sufficiently show their gratitude, but that selling these goods by auction, the merchants provide themselves first and afterwards sell them for an exorbitant price to people that live in a remote part and cannot embrace the moment the auctions are held ... (102)

The terms of trade obtained under this system were extremely unfavourable to the veeboeren. Again, the lament issuing from the Hantam could equally have come from the Nuweveld or Graaff Reinet:

The cultivator of wine and corn sells his products at the same time at a high price, the wheelwright, smiths and every trading person equally exorbitant in their demands and a low stipulated price on the cattle, nothing but indigence remains for the practitioners (of pastoralism), while the remainder of the colonists flourish ... (103)

The price of slaughter stock was kept artificially low though the Company's system of leases and concessions. (104) The livestock market was partially monopsonized by the "contracted butchers" who, in terms of their concession, were obliged to supply the Company at prices below cost. The quid pro quo for the contractors was the exclusive right to supply foreign ships at virtually uncontrolled prices. However, in order to secure their profits and win the favour of a regime concerned above all with reducing its own expenses (and therefore the living costs of its employees), they also attempted to monopolize the supply of meat to the inhabitants of Cape Town, a considerable trade which normally lay in the hands of six or more competing "private butchers". The result, inevitably, was the artificial depression of the prices paid to the veeboeren. (105) However, the latter found their own means of resistance and the contracted butchers were never able to achieve a complete monopoly. Stock prices rose under the pressure of expanded demand, despite all attempts to depress them. Indeed, surprisingly, until the turn of the century, they rose at a slightly faster rate than the prices of trekossen (always more valuable), for which the market was uncontrolled (see Appendix 3).

However, when measured against the prices of the goods habitually purchased by the graziers, livestock prices were absurdly low. The high cost of textiles has already been alluded to, but the same was true of other commodities. In 1791, for example, when the average (producer) price of a wether was 15 schellings and 3 stuivers (see Appendix 3), the seller could have acquired in return just 4 lbs of gunpowder, 2 razors, 1.75 lbs of tea or 11.75 inches of broadcloth! A gun of good quality would have cost him 11 sheep, while a waggon would have cost him 129. (106)
Furthermore, if Mentzel is to be believed, the supply of imported commodities was highly erratic, depending in large part upon the official and quasi-clandestine trade between the officers and crew of outward-bound Indiamen and the private citizens of Cape Town. (107) The goods on offer and the prices at which they were retailed varied enormously, according to the movements of shipping in the Bay:

... prices at the Cape are never stable. An article may be very expensive one day and ridiculously cheap a few weeks later. Everything depends on the quality of goods available and the prospect of speedy replacement. Should there be many Dutch ships in the Bay upon their outward voyage, there would be a glut in the supply of many articles. Everybody would lay in a stock of these commodities that were purchased at a low price and hold out for a rise ... should an unusually long time elapse between the visits of outward bound vessels, prices would go up because, apart from local consumers, ready customers for Dutch wares are always to be found on homeward bound Indiamen. In a short time prices would soar to giddy heights and the goods would soon be unobtainable ...

Small wonder, then, that "speculative buying and selling (was) the main-spring of the Cape trade". (108)

Faced with a market of this nature, the poorer veeboeren could find themselves in a precarious position. Bankruptcy proceedings were relatively rare, but they could, and did, occur, to the great ire of the local populace. (109) In 1788, for example, the Court of Justice ordered 15 burgers of Graaff Reinet to settle their unpaid debts to the Orphan Chamber. Josia Dafel and Jan Botha, Willemszoon, were unable to pay, partly because the bankruptcy of the butcher, Jonas van der Poel, had left them without income from the sale of livestock; their assets were sequestered and auctioned and they were left virtually destitute. (110) Their sad fate was remembered some seven years later, during the burger rebellion of 1795 (which, incidentally, coincided with a severe recession in the Cape economy and a sudden drop in livestock prices), though the rebels placed their own interpretation upon it. According to the Klageschrift presented to Commissioner de Wet on the 26th April 1795, those who oppose them (the district Landdrost and Secretary) at sales are arrested for payment before it is due ... as much as to say 'we shall teach you to bid against the Landdrost and Secretary', as happened with Dafel and van Wyk, who for a trifling debt (Dafel actually owed 1097 rds!) was fetched from his waggon by the messenger Erlank on horseback with a drawn sword in his hand, like the greatest delinquent ...

Local creditors, however, were wont to be more merciful than their urban counterparts. Sums owing to neighbours and friends might remain unpaid for a decade or more, and on the death of a creditor they might even be taken over by his heirs. Even cases which reached the local Court of Landdrost and Heemraden could take years to settle. In 1813, for example, after waiting three years for J L du Preez to obey a summons, Joseph de Beer declared himself "fed up with travelling to and fro" to attend the hearings and abandoned his claim to the Church Congregation. (112)

Thus, despite protestations from some quarters (notably the embattled Sneeuwbergers) "dat wij hoe langer hoe dieper in schulden geraakentl (113), indigent frontiersmen were in fact cushioned against insolvency by a complex network of local
credit and debt, as well as by the system of livestock loans "op de helft van aanteel" and by the ease with which land was made available to "bijwoners" and "knechten".

Of the 303 deceased estates examined in this study, only 11 were insolvent. The distribution of wealth was very uneven, however: just 34 households held 50% of the total net wealth of the 292 solvent estates, constituting a "minimal majority" of 11.6% (114) (though, of course, not all were co-existent in time). Of the total 303 estates, 51% held net assets worth less than 2,000 rix dollars, while 36% had a net worth of less than 1,000 rds. Figure II, below, provides an idea of the overall distribution of wealth among the 303 households, according to their net worth.

Figure II
The distribution of net wealth among 303 deceased estates
of Graaff Reinet burguers and their relatives, 1964-1812

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentile</th>
<th>Value (Rds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highest</td>
<td>115060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90th</td>
<td>11772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80th</td>
<td>6813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70th</td>
<td>5065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60th</td>
<td>3634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50th</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40th</td>
<td>1479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30th</td>
<td>890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20th</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10th</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using the lists of livestock and producer goods auctioned soon after the death of a householder, it is possible to arrive at a rough assessment of the current viability (at the time of death, that is) of boer households in the various deciles. The procedures involved require a certain amount of informed guesswork with which the historian may feel rather uncomfortable; however, the richness of the sources compels one to make the attempt so as to construct a more concrete basis from which to assess the relative prosperity of frontier households. Was it true, as Lichtenstein asserted, that the surplus produce of a veeboer's land and livestock was "not so great as may be supposed at first sight; it perhaps hardly more than compensates the outgoings for objects which cannot be raised upon the spot ..."? (115) Or, were the complaints of hardship exaggerated merely to win relief from irksome exactions of rent and taxes?

The following examples, selected from the sample and arranged according to the date of settlement and the level of gross assets of each estate, are intended to suggest a preliminary answer to this question. (116) On the one hand, since they are based upon estimates of herd structure, calving and lambing percentages, milk yields, etc, drawn from 20th-century studies of Afrikaner cattle and sheep (117), they may exaggerate the productivity of 18th-century pastoralism, although allowance has been made as far as possible for a higher mortality rate and a lower fertility rate under 18th century conditions. (118) On the other hand, the productivity of less visible activities, such as the raising of pigs and poultry, soap-making, horticulture, hunting, tanning, transport-riding, etc, has perforce been underestimated and the reader must bear these in mind when assessing the significance of the following cases:
(No 64, 2nd decile): Sara Fourie, Weduwe Adriaan van Wyk, aged 66 years; vendutie held 4 November 1771, gross assets 896 rds; 538 sheep, 8 cows & 8 calves, 44 "beesten in soort", 25 oxen, 8 mares, 1 saddle horse, agricultural implements. Maximum disposable income from livestock and butter (assuming no domestic consumption of slaughter stock), 470 rds (119) + cereals, salt, etc. If 52 sheep per annum were consumed at home, maximum disposable income would be 448 rds. Debts 294 rds 36 sts (33% of gross assets).

(No 24, 1st decile): Jacobus Botha, Christoffelzoon, bachelor, aged 31 years; vendutie held 31 July 1776, gross assets 181 rds 16 sts: no breeding-stock, no agricultural implements, possibly transport-rider or knecht, income unknown, 50 rds owed to traders (including Andries Brink) for merchandise, 9 rds owed in cash.

(No 9, insolvent): Johannes Laas, arrived 1743, and surviving spouse Johanna Gousch; vendutie held on 20 September 1776, gross assets 1258 rds 5 sts: 725 sheep, 85 "beesten in zoort", 34 oxen, 50 goats, 5 mares, 1 foal, 1 stallion, 1 saddlehorse, agricultural implements. Maximum disposable income 505 rds (119), + 30 goats, 11 kids and 1 old ram for home consumption, cereals, vegetables, etc. If 52 sheep per annum slaughtered for home consumption, maximum disposable income would be 479 rds. Debts 608 rds 40 sts (48% of gross assets) + inheritances unpaid.

(No 205, 7th decile): Johannes Jurgen de Beer, aged 56 years and surviving spouse Christina van der Merwe; vendutie held on 12 April 1785, gross assets 18364 rds 42 sts: 1066 "aanteelschapen", 38 lambs, 111 "aanteelbokken", 35 cows and 18 calves, 17 heifers, 6 bulls, 9 young bulls, 133 trekoxen, 9 geldings, 1 mare, agricultural implements, wine and brandy-making equipment, smithy. Maximum disposable income from livestock and agriculture, 1198 rds 2 schellings (119) + 23 old goats and 107 kids for home consumption. If he slaughtered 100 sheep (old ewes) per annum for domestic consumption, disposable income would be 1130 rds 2 schellings. Debts 12745 rds (including a 10,000 rd loan from the Orphan Chamber) (69% of gross assets).

(No 2, insolvent): Jacoba van der Merwe and surviving spouse Christiaan Aucamp, aged 25 years; vendutie held 10 January 1787, gross assets 610 rds 12 sts: 91 breeding sheep, 14 cows, 10 heifers, 9 bull calves, 15 trekoxen, 2 young oxen, 1 mare, no agricultural implements. Maximum disposable income from livestock and butter, 143 rds (including 65 rds for cattle sold the year before). (119) Debts 261 rds (43% of gross assets) + 321 rds unpaid inheritances.

(No 28, 1st decile): Volkert Schoemaker, immigrant bachelor; vendutie held 9 February 1797, gross assets 1956 rds 42 sts: 200 sheep and goats, 38 "aanteelbeesten", 1 bull, 11 oxen, 2 mares, 2 foals, agricultural implements. Maximum disposable income, 436 rds from livestock and butter + 68 rds annual interest on loans + 15 kids and 6 nanny-goats for home consumption, + cereals, etc? (119) If he slaughtered 52 sheep per annum, maximum disposable income would be 452 rds. Debts 1517 rds 30 sts, including 600 rds owed to A G Theubes, a Cape Town trader (68% of gross assets).

(No 34, 1st decile): Martinus Oosthuizen (aged 35 years) and surviving spouse Johanna Jacomina Calitz; vendutie held 23 January 1800, gross assets 737 rds 36 sts: 186 sheep, 10 oxen, 102 goats, 2 mares, agricultural implements. Maximum disposable income from livestock and butter, 254 rds (119), + 8 old goats and 17 kids for home consumption, + cereals and fruit? If they slaughtered 52 sheep per annum for home consumption, maximum disposable income would be 156 rds. (120) 8 children living at home. Debts 265 rds 26 sts (36% of gross assets), + 110 rds unpaid inheritance.

(No 301, 10th decile): Stephanus Naude aged 52 years and Anna Elizabeth Olivier, Weduwe Dirk Coetsee (both murdered during the Khoi rebellion of 1799-1803); vendutie held 25 January 1802, gross assets 32794 rds 18 sts: 1002 wethers, 485 ewes, 50 cows and 42 calves, 3 bulls, 94 steers, 8 "beesten in zoort", 160 trekoxen, 54 young oxen, 2 "voorpaarden", 9 saddle horses, 7 mares and 4 foals, 113 goats, smiths' tools. Maximum disposable income from livestock and butter 3109 rds (assuming all 1002 wethers sold this year) (119), + 29 rds 4 schellings for soap, + 97 rds 4 schellings for tobacco cultivated and sold, + income from smithy, corn etc. Debts c2000 rds (6% of gross assets).
(No 179, 6th decile): Gabriel du Plessis d‘oude, aged 57 years, and late spouse Hester Venter; vendutie held 12 November 1807, gross assets 3822 rds 24 sts: 534 lambs, 17 ewes and 14 lambs, 1002 "aanteelbeesten", 9 cows and 10 calves, 6 "aanteelbeesten", 5 young steers, 17 oxen, 5 young oxen, 4 mares and 2 foals, 2 saddle horses, no agricultural implements. Maximum disposable income 588 rds (119), 9 children to feed. If he slaughtered 100 sheep per annum for home consumption, maximum disposable income would be 415 rds. Debts 104 rds (3% of gross assets).

(No 104, 4th decile): Carel Nicolaas van der Merwe, aged 40 years, and surviving spouse Geesje Cornelia Smit: vendutie held 28 June 1811, gross assets 2033 rds 30 sts: 840 wethers, 360 ewes and young lambs, 24 "aanteelbeesten", 16 young oxen, 4 mares, 1 gelding, 1 young stallion, 108 goats, agricultural implements. Maximum disposable income, 2791 rds (assuming all wethers sold this year), + 72 goats and 9 old nanny-goats for home consumption (119), + cereals, etc. 9 children to feed. Debts 125 rds 12 sts (6% of gross assets), + inheritances owing.

These examples have not been adjusted to take account of inflation; however, they do allow one to draw tentative conclusions concerning the viability of frontier households at different periods in time. In brief, it would seem that in the 1770s a working capital worth 800-1200 rds or thereabouts was adequate to yield an income above 400 rds per annum (assuming a very low home consumption of livestock), which should have allowed the recipient to meet basic expenses; by the 1780s this is unlikely to have been enough. By the turn of the century, 1000 rds was far too little - nearly 2000 rds was required as a basis for comfortable living, while during the ensuing decade a man aspiring to a life-style of "rough comfort" would need assets valued at between 2000 and 3000 rds. (121) By contrast, men in the position of J J de Beer and Stephanus Naudes, with capital assets worth well over 15000 rds, were able to accumulate a sizeable annual surplus (122) which (aided by loans and mortgages) they invested in land, livestock and slaves, or, as they grew older, in loans to relatives, neighbours and local authorities.

Money-lending was of crucial importance to the regional economy of the frontier. Besides the Orphan Chamber, there was no public source of credit at the Cape, and without private credit it is doubtful whether the economy could have functioned at all. Certainly credit provided by local notables underwrote many a purchase of land, livestock or slaves in frontier districts. Men such as the Heemraden Cornelis Coetzee, Joshua Joubert, and Andries Petrus Burgers lent enormous sums to kinsmen, neighbours and other parties. (123)

Besides being rich, the major creditors of Graaff Reinet were usually men past the prime of life, aged in their sixties or seventies, or even older. Many were clearly supporting needy (or ambitious?) kinsmen (124), but not, we should note, without interest. The question as to how far they would support the least credit-worthy among their kin is one which cannot be answered here, though it is clearly of crucial importance in understanding the dynamics of inequality in Graaff Reinet. Our purpose here has been merely to establish that inequality existed and that it should be understood in the context of an economy dominated by the production and consumption of commodities.
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Cape Archival Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Accessions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BO</td>
<td>1st British Occupation, 1795-1803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Council of Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CJ</td>
<td>Council of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR</td>
<td>Graaff-Reinet archive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>Census returns (Opgaafrollen)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOOC</td>
<td>Master of the Supreme Court – deceased estates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L/STB</td>
<td>Stellenbosch archive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZK</td>
<td>Microfilm – Resolutions, Council of Policy and annexures.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 1

10th Decile: No 287 (medium) Jacobus Botha d’oude, oud Heemraad of Swellendam, homestead at Jan Harnems Gat near Swellendam, grazing farm Piesang Rivier, on which he lived during the 1770s at mouth of Keurbooms rivier. Predeceased by both wives x Else Snijman x Magdalena Mannik. Gross assets 18,796 rds, 40 stuivers, net assets 17,189 rds 1 stuiver. Estate settled 2nd December 1793.

PRODUCER GOODS purchased
1 iron scale and empty chest, 1 iron balance with wooden scales and 63 lbs assorted weights, 2 harrows, 2 ploughs, 3 ploughshares, 4 spades, 2 pick axes, 2 axes, 1 adze, 1 hammer, 1 crowbar, 1 bellows, 1 spierhaak, 1 anvil, 4 dung shovels, 1 cross saw, 1 braamzaag, 3 pruning knives, 1 copper spray-gun, 1 scale, 2 sheets of iron, 3 lots of tools, 1 basket with tools, 1 vice, 1 gun, 1 tin lintern, 1 brandy kettle, 6 empty leggers (563 litres), 1 studvat, 1 old legger, 2 empty leggers, 1 legger with wine, 1 empty legger, 1 half sam (77.5 litres) and 1 funnel, 1 funnel with brandy, 1 big jug, 1 lot of stone pitchers, brick moulds, tools and rummage, 3 old saddles, 2 ox waggons.

slaves: Schiedam of Bengal rds 490, Damon of Samboua rds 100, July of Bengal rds 501, January of Boegies with his wife Anna of Mozambique rds 313, Anthony of Bengal rds 309, Anthony de tweede of Bengal rds 260, April of Madagascar rds 245, August of Mallabar rds 112, Pedro of Macassar rds 150, Baboe of Mauritius, old and worn out, 2 schell, Izaak of Boegies, old and worn out, 4 schell.

PRODUCER GOODS home-made
1 camp bed, 1 string of rope-yarn, 1 lot of woodwork, 1 small chest, 11 shelves, 1 rijstblock and 1 lot of rummage, 1 lot of ladder poles, 4 yellow wood planks, 1 grind stone, 1 small waggon chest, 5 corn sacks.

either
1 butter vat, 1 vat with sale, 1 vat with butter, 1 tub with salt, 1 butter churn and balietje, 1 butter churn, 3 empty balies, 1 vat with vinegar, 1 milk tub, 3 tubs, 1 tiller.

slaves: Joseph of the Cape 330 rds, Adonis of the Cape 700 rds, Damon of the Cape 425 rds, Pieternel of the Cape 801 rds. 

Total value of producer goods (including slaves but excluding land and livestock): 5491 rds 3 schell (29% of gross assets)
Value of purchased producer goods: 3119 rds 4 schell (56.8% of all producer goods)
Value of home-made producer goods: 57 rds 3 schell (1% of all producer goods)
Value of either home-made or purchased producer goods (including Cape-born slaves): 2314 rds 4 schell (42% of all producer goods)

CONSUMER GOODS purchased
1 copper box, 3 copper coffee jugs, 1 copper kettle with brazier, 1 copper kettle, 1 copper kettle, 1 copper stewart, 2 copper caldrons, 1 copper fish kettle, 4 copper chafing dishes and 1 copper saucepan, 1 copper tart pan and 1 stone pitcher, 1 coppercaldron, 1 iron colander, 1 copper mortar with pestle, 1 copper candlestick and 3 snuffers, 4 assorted copper candlesticks, 2 copper table wraiths, 1 copper pitcher and wash-basin, 1 copper tray, 1 copper poeffertjespan, 1 copper dish, 1 iron and 2 pewter salt cellars, 2 pewter water pots, 1 pewter tray with trifles, 1 pewter tobacco box, 1 pewter lantern, 2 pewter table wraiths, 1 pewter water flask, 18 pewter spoons and 2 forks, 9 pewter plates, 1 pewter tray and pepper box, 5 pewter dishes, 2 pewter tea pots, 6 tins, 1 tin and 1 (?) jug, 2 irons and 1 stand, 1 waffle iron, 1 iron bracket with copper candlestick, 1 cake pan and grid, 2 iron pots and 1 earthenware pot, 7 iron pots, 1 assorted lot of iron kitchenware, 1 coffee grinder, 3 iron chimney chains with bars, 2 tart pans, 2 earthenware pots, 3 assorted earthenware pots, 1 earthenware pitcher, 9 porcelain bowls and 2 little pots, 2 sauce pots, 1 porcelain jug and teapot, 43 teacups and saucers, 5 porcelain
bowls, 1 porcelain bowl and 1 butter-pot, 8 assorted porcelain plates, 24 porcelain plates, 5 plates and 5 bowls, 17 porcelain dishes, 6 porcelain dishes, 1 porcelain salad dish and 2 dishes, 2 porcelain soup tureens, 1 big bowls, 2 porcelain water bottles, 3 assorted butter pots, 1 lot of assorted porcelain, 3 porcelain water bottles, 4 glass bottles, 3 glass jugs, 3 assorted glasses, 1 tray with 7 wine glasses, 1 pair of glasses in leather holders, 1 tray with glasses, 1 tray with glasses and 4 empty flasks, 1 square flask, 2 small mirrors, 1 mirror, 1 silver sugar tin, 1 silver drinking mug, 1 silver corkscrew, 2 silver salt cellars, 1 silver soup spoon, 19 silver hand spoons, 12 silver forks, 1 pr sugar tongs and 1 snuffer, 11 table knives and 7 forks, 11 table knives and 10 forks with ivory handles, 2 books with siver(?) hooks, 2 assorted books, 1 arithmetic slate, 1 Bible, 1 box with surgical instruments, 1 desk with (??)foot, 3 cabinets, 1 bed, peuluwe, 4 cushions and a woollen blanket, 1 bed and 2 cushions, 1 bed, peuluwe, 6 cushions and chintz bedspread, 1 bed, peuluwe, 4 cushions and 1 chintz bedspread, 1 bed, peuluwe, 7 cushions and 1 chintz bedspread, 1 bed, 6 cushions, 1 bed, peuluwe, 2 cushions, 1 chintz bedspread, 22 sheets, 10 pillow cases, 3 sheets and 1 linen remnant, 10 towels and 2 pillow cases, 7 chair cushions, 21 table napkins, 6 table cloths, 1 el (measure).

home-made
1 lot of wooden kitchenware, 2 assorted baskets, 1 kitchen table, 8 muids of corn (2.75 bushels), 10 muids of beans, 1 muid of peas, 20 muids of corn.

either
3 empty chests, 1 empty trunk, 1 small chest and 1 box with sliding lid, 1 empty chest with dried fruit, 4 small tables, 3 tables, 1 round table and four-poster bed, 1 rustbank, 1 voetbank, 24 chairs, 4 chairs, 6 chairs with cushions, 1 easy chair with its pot, 1 easy chair, 1 bed, 1 food cupboard, 1 hakkist, 1 pot rack, 1 hat cupboard, 9 wooden foot-warmers, 2 sieves, 5 water buckets, 1 four-poster bed with blue hangings, 2 four-posters with red hangings, 1 four-poster with chintz hangings, 1 four-poster with hangings, 1 canopy-bed with hangings, 1 canopy bed with blue hangings.

Total value of consumer goods: 1531 rix dollars (8% of gross assets)
Value of purchased goods: 1216 rds (79% of all consumer goods)
Value of home-made consumer goods: 3 rds 5 schellingen (0.2% of all consumer goods)
Value of "either" home-made or purchased consumer goods: 311 rds 3 schellingen (20% of all consumer goods).

8th Decile: estate No 229 (median) Cornelia Aletta Marais and surviving spouse Gerrit Hendrik Rautenbach, Graaff Reinet district. Gross assets 7751 rds 1 schell, net assets 5814 rds 2 schell. Estate settled on 17 October 1803 and 7 December 1805.

PRODUCER GOODS
purchased
1 blunderbuss, 2 lbs gunpowder, 1 lot of bullets, lead, 1 ox waggon, 1 can of paint, 1 flask of turpentine, 1 pair of scales, 1 axe, 1 adze, 1 vice, 2 drills, 1 iron drill brace, 1 Kraanzaag, 1 plane and 1 square, 2 augers, 2 hammers, 1 file, 1 knife, 1 compass and 3 chisels, 1 lot of old iron, 1 lb iron, 31 hoops, 1 lot of old hoops, 1 anker vat (c38.75 litres), 1 half legger, 1 half sem, 1 brandy kettle, 4 deurslagen, 1 viszegen(?), 8 lbs of canvas, 1 skein of canvas thread.

slaves: Bella of Mallabar with her 4 children 1308 rds, Samsen of Madagascar 445 rds, Africa of Mozambique 300 rds.

home-made
1 wooden tub, 3 sacks, 5 old oars, 1 churn, 4 ladders, the boards of a cupboard.
either
Spasie (female slave) of the Cape 305 rds, Copernus 380 rds.

Total value of producer goods: 3125 rds (40% of gross assets)
Value of purchased goods: 2426 rds 3 schell (77% of all producer goods)
Value of home-made producer goods: 13 rds 5 schell (0.4% of all producer goods)
Value of those which could be either: 685 rds (22% of all producer goods)

CONSUMER GOODS
purchased
1 peul cushion, 1 half el of cotton, 12 els of Flemish linen, 2 pewter pans, 1 cauldron, 1 iron pot, 1 iron ladle and meat fork, 1 chafing dish, 19 spoons, 22 forks, 2 table knives, 7 cups and 10 saucers, 1 table cloth and 3 serviettes, 4 flasks, 4 bottles, 1 glass bottle, 1 tin, 1 tin box, 1 candlestick, 1 bottle, 1 mirror, 1 lock with keys, 1 book of paper, 1 Bible, 1 hymn book, 1 book of sermons, 3 books.

domestic
1 foot warmer, 3 beds (kadels), 1 tub, 1 chest, 1 chest without lid, 1 tea table, 1 folding table, 1 sieve, 2 brushes.
either
1 bucket, 4 pillows.

Total value of consumer goods: 9 rds 4 schell (1.2% of gross assets)
Value of purchased consumer goods: 55 rds 6 schell (58% of all consumer goods)
Value of home-made consumer goods: 36 rds (38% of all consumer goods)
Value of goods which could be either: 3 rds 6 schell (4% of all consumer goods)

---

6th Decile: estate No 171, Frans Kruger d'oude and surviving spouse 3rd wife, XXX Magdalena Catharina Erasmus; gross assets, 5445 rds 15 sts, net assets 2576 rds 30 sts. Residing in Camdebo. Age at death, 62 years.

PRODUCER GOODS
purchased
1 ox waggon and appurtenances, 1 brandy kettle, 2 half aams and 1 funnel, 1 onhoofd(?), 2 half leggers, 1 half anker, 1 bierpijp, 6 hoops, 1 funnel and 1 pint measure, 3 spades, 1 lot of old iron, 2 pick axes, 1 axe, 2 hammers, 1 hand axe and 1 adze, 3 drills, 2 chisels, 2 kouwbijtels and 2 deurslagen, 2 saws, 2 files, 1 rasp and 2 compasses, 1 handsaw, 1 schulpzaag, 1 unijntjes ijzer, 1 hammer, tongs and knife, 1 holdiszel, 3 planes, 1 shoemaker's hammer, 1 iron drill brace, 8 sockels, 1 plough, 1 pair of scales, 3 skeins of rope yarn, 1 saddle and saddle-cloth, 4 guns.
Slaves: Bastjoe of Macassar, 280 rds.

Note: Kruger had purchased his two farms, de Uitkomst and de Rietkuyl in the Camdebo, from Laurens Erasmus, a relative of his 3rd wife. At the time of his death he still owed 513 rds 29 stuivers.

domestic
1 grinding stone, 8 buckets, 1 mill and 2 sledge hammers, 4 butter vats, 1 churn, 1 tar bucket.
either
Slave: Eva of the Cape, 283 rds.

Total value of producer goods (excluding land and livestock): 1033 rds 1 schell (19% of gross assets)
Value of purchased producer goods: 622 rds (60% of all producer goods)
Value of home-made producer goods: 128 rds 1 schell (12% of all producer goods)
Value of "either": 283 rds (27% of all producer goods)
CONSUMER GOODS

purchased
21 porcelain plates, 30 porcelain plates, 2 dishes, 9 cups and saucers, 2 porcelain dishes, 2 pewter dishes and 2 plates, 4 glasses, 4 bottles, 1 earthenware flask, 3 dishes and flasks, 6 spoons and 5 forks, 1 tobacco knife, 1 kitchen range, 1 small pot and ladle, 4 pots, 1 small pot, 1 sauce pot, 1 grid, 2 saucepans, 1 pair of tongs and 1 pan, 1 kettle and chafing dish, 2 chafing dishes, 2 tea pots, 1 tin, 1 sugar tin, 1 sieve, 1 coffee grinder, 1 iron, 2 candlesticks, 1 slange steen (?), 1 tooth extractor.

home-made
2.5 muids salt, 1.5 muids salt, 6 muids of barley, 52 muids of corn, 3 shelves, 2 small shelves, 2 half chests, 1 small table, 1 big table, 7 chairs and 1 basket, 1 spoon rack, funnel and pot, 2 butter trays, 2 beds (kadel), 513 lbs butter.

either
2 beds

Total value of all consumer goods: 385 rds 7 schell (7% of gross assets)
Value of purchased consumer goods: 113 rds 5 schell (29% of all consumer goods)
Value of home-made consumer goods: 242 rds 4 schell (63% of all consumer goods)
Value of "either": 29 rds 4 schell (8% of all consumer goods)

4th Decile: estate No 104 (in sample), Carel Nicolaas van der Merwe and surviving widow xx Geesje Cornelia Smit, gross assets 2033 rds 30 stuiwers, net assets 944 rds 1 stuiwer. Estate settled on 23 November 1813. Living on the request place Andriesfontein on the Zeekoe rivier, Graaff Reinet district.

PRODUCER GOODS

purchased
1 old pick axe and axe, 2 old spades, 2 old sickles, 1 file, etc, 1 old plough, 1 harrow and 2 shovels, 1 old hand saw, 3 chisels and 1 drill, 1 vice, 1 lot of old iron, 1 old ox waggon, 2 buckets, 2 guns, 2 defective guns, 1 old saddle and bridle, 2 old saddles, 1 defective handmill.

home-made
1 anker vaatje, 1 broken vaatje.

Total value of producer goods: 219 rds (11% of gross assets)
Value of purchased producer goods: 217 rds 2 schell (99% of all producer goods)
Value of home-made producer goods: 1 rd 6 schell (0.8% of all producer goods)

CONSUMER GOODS

purchased
1 small copper kettle, 1 defective kettle, 1 iron, 5 pewter plates, 1 pewter dish, 1 defective pewter dish, 7 spoons, 7 forks, 2 defective bowls, 1 tin tea pot, 3 iron pots, 2 defective iron pots, 2 bottles, 1 grid, 1 bulsak, 4 cushions, 1 blanket, 1 candlestick and snuffier, 1 psalm book, 1 Bible.

home-made
4 chairs, 1 small table, 1 wooden pot ladle and fork, 2 chests.

Total value of all consumer goods: 115 rds 5 schell (6% of gross assets)
Value of purchased consumer goods: 99 rds 2 schell (77% of all consumer goods)
Value of home-made consumer goods: 26 rds 3 schell (23% of all consumer goods)

2nd Decile: estate No 46 (in sample), Elizabeth Nortje, Weduwe Matthijs Strijdom, aged 82 years at death. Gross assets 296 rds 8 stuiwers, net assets 284 rds 35 stuiwers. Estate settled 7 June 1773.
PRODUCER GOODS
nil

CONSUMER GOODS
purchased: 1 blanket
home-made: nil
either: 1 kadel, 1 bed, 4 pillows, 1 empty cellar/wine cabinet, 1 desk.

Total value of consumer goods: 16 rds 6 schell
(5.6% of gross assets)
Value of either home-made or purchased consumer goods: 16 rds 6 schell
(100% of all consumer goods)

Note: the estate also held 57 rds 36 stuiwers in cash (19% of gross assets).

1st Decile: estate No 34 (in sample), Marthinus Oosthuizen and surviving spouse xxx
Johanna Jacomina Calitz, resident in the little Karroo, eastern Swellendam. Gross
assets 737 rds 36 stuiwers, net assets 205 rds 30 stuiwers. Estate settled on
30 April 1801.

PRODUCER GOODS
purchased
1 ox waggon with 5 yokes, 1 large iron pot, 1 old plough share, 2 sixkles, 3 spades,
2 lots of iron hoops, 1 cellar with rummage, 1 hammer and drill, 3 chisels, 2 augers
and 1 square, 1 kouwbijtel, gatschijf and deurslag, 1 adze, 1 spanzaag, 2 planes, 1
axe, 1 hand axe, 3 old pick axes, 1 pair of fire tongs, 1 earthenware pot and
grinding stone, 1 tarpaulin, 1 saddle.
home-made
1 butter vat, 4 sacks, 20’ of planks, 1 waggon chest, 1 karnvaatje, 2 half aams,
1 cart.

Total value of producer goods: 316 rds 5 schell (43% of gross assets)
Value of purchased producer goods: 197 rds 6 schell (63% of all producer goods)
Value of home-made producer goods: 118 rds 7 schell (37% of all producer goods)

CONSUMER GOODS
purchased
1 tin dish, plate and soup indle, 12 plates, 1 salad bowl, 2 plates and 1 bowl, 23
pewter spoons, 11 steel forks, 1 pewter coffee jug, 3 bowls and some flasks, 4 iron
pots, 1 defective copper kettle, 1 cake-pan and 1 grid, 1 tea pot, 1 mirror, 1
earthenware bowl and 2 plates, 1 earthenware pot, 1 medicine chest, 1 bucket,
bedding.
home-made
1 empty chest, 3 chairs

Total value of consumer goods: 63 rds 5 schell (8.6% of gross assets)
Value of purchased consumer goods: 60 rds 2 schell (95% of all consumer goods)
Value of home-made consumer goods: 3 rds 3 schell (5% of all consumer goods)

Insolvents: estate No 2 (in sample), Jacoba van der Merwe, Weduwee Willem van der
Merwe and surviving spouse Christiana Aucamp. Gross assets 610 rds 12 stuiwers, net
assets nil (–25 rds 8 sts). Resident in Graaff Reinet district. Estate settled on
8 September 1787.
PRODUCER GOODS
purchased
1 ox waggon with appurtenances, 1 pick and spade, 1 knife, 2 rasps and 2 chisels, 1 handsaw, 1 plane, 1 drill and adze.

home-made
1 milk bucket, 1 small chest

Total value of producer goods: 98 rds 4 schell (16% of gross assets)
Value of purchased producer goods: 92 rds 5 schell (94% of all producer goods)
Value of home-made producer goods: 5 rds 7 schell (6% of all producer goods)

CONSUMER GOODS
purchased
1 gridiron, 2 iron pots without handles, 1 pillow, cushions and blanket.

home-made
1 vat with c500lbs butter, 1 bed.

Total value of consumer goods: 59 rds 6 schell (9% of gross assets)
Value of purchased consumer goods: 10 rds 6 schell (18% of all consumer goods)
(pillow, etc, not sold)
Value of home-made consumer goods: 49 rds (82% of all consumer goods)
(bed not sold)
## Appendix 2: Table Showing Provision of Gross Assets Among Various Categories (in percentages of the total sum)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decile</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>GROSS ASSETS</th>
<th>DEBTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Land Slaves</td>
<td>Agric Produce</td>
<td>Past Produce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10th</td>
<td>Jacs. Coetzee</td>
<td>9.3% 53.5% (1.1%)</td>
<td>(1.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jacs. Botha</td>
<td>26.5 25.2 13.8</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th</td>
<td>Albs. Nel</td>
<td>43.4 16.4 (19.4)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jasper Slabbert</td>
<td>22.8 0.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th</td>
<td>Corna. Marais</td>
<td>43.4 34.7 (14.3)</td>
<td>0.05 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Johs. Coetzee</td>
<td>28 (25)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th</td>
<td>Maria Slabbert</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.06 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frans. du Toit</td>
<td>54.5 20 (15)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th</td>
<td>Christl. Botha</td>
<td>34 36 (14)</td>
<td>0.2 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frans. Kruger</td>
<td>23.2 10.3 (42.7)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td>Ceca. du Preez</td>
<td>18 7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Johs. Basson</td>
<td>61 30 (6)</td>
<td>0.8 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>Gerrit Coetzee</td>
<td>15.6 32 (14.6)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(horses &amp; cows)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>Marths. Nel</td>
<td>(66.3)</td>
<td>(19.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Phils. du Plessis</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(horses &amp; 2 cows)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>Eras. Smit</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>Joha. Bezuidenhout</td>
<td>(58.7)</td>
<td>(16.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jasper Smit</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures in brackets mark those whose livestock and producer goods indicate an active involvement in stock-farming.