Anglo- american Noval Staff Conversations 2 G 70/8/39 According to the helles

a definite traision was

for the communication to

for the communication of the

Foreign Office,

Manual Services F 3017/2963/61] (No. 285.) Sir. I ASKED the United States Ambassador to call and see me this morning in order that I might clear what seemed to be a discrepancy between what he had said to me on the 24th March on the subject of the United States fleet returning to the Pacific, and your Excellency's telegram reporting your conversation on the same subject by telephone with the Under-Secretary of State on the same day.

2. The Ambassador said that there was no essential discrepancy between the two positions, inasmuch as he had very little doubt that the reply given to you and reported in your telegram had been thrown into that form in order that the G70/5/39 position of the President should be all right on the record. It would obviously be of advantage to him, should the need ever arise, to be able to say that it had

been his own original intention to bring the fleet back to the Pacific about the

20th May.

3. I thanked the Ambassador for his explanation and told him that, if we are a superal view as to the desirability of this move, I

would let him know.

4. In a short reference to the general situation, the Ambassador told me that he understood the United States Ambassador in Paris had been in touch with the United States Ambassador in Warsaw, and had heard reports of the German Government having made proposals about Danzig to the Polish Government, which the Polish Government had rejected.

5. I told him that, so far as I was aware, we had not so far received similar

information.

558 dd-1

I am, with great truth and respect, Your Excellency's obedient Servant, (For the Secretary of State)

R.9. Hows_

His Excellency The Right Honourable Sir Ronald Lindsay, G.C.M.G., K.C.B., etc., etc., etc., Washington.

unditidately.